## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 03222 071917Z

71

**ACTION EUR-25** 

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 INR-10 L-03 ACDA-19

NSAE-00 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 USIA-15 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 DRC-01 /091 W

----- 073487

R 071845Z JUN 74 FM USMISSION NATO TO SECDEF WASHDC INFO SECSTATE WASHDC 6182 CSAF WASHDC

CONFIDENTIAL USNATO 3222

E.O. 11652: GDS, 31-12-80 TAGS: NATO, MILI SUBJECT: DRAFT DECISION SHEET, AC/259-DS/13, OF CNAD 24-26 APRIL 74 MEETING

SECDEF WASHDC FOR ODDRE (MR R. BASIL) CSAF WASHDC FOR RDQSD

- 1. REFERENCE US COMMENTS ON SUBJECT DRAFT DECISION SHEET HANDCARRIED TO MISSION BY L/C EDWARDS (ODDR&E) ON 4 JUNE.
- 2. THREE OF THE COMMENTS CONCERN INTRODUCING THE SPECIFIC TERMS "AWACS" IN SUBPARAGRAPHS (A), (B), AND (F) OF PARAGRAPH II(D)(3), PAGES 9 AND 10 IN CONNECTION WITH SEVERAL ONGOING SHAPE, STC, AND NATO INTERNATIONAL STAFF AIRBORNE EARLY WARNING (AEW) STUDIES AND INVESTIGATIONS.
- 3. RECOMMEND THESE THREE US RECOMMENDED CHANGES TO THE DECISION SHEET BE WITHDRAWN FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS.

(A) OF ALL THE NATO BODIES LOOKING AT AEW, CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 03222 071917Z

ONLY THE NAFAG SPECIAL TASK GROUP (STG) DEALS EXCLUSIVELY WITH AWACS, AND THERE IS STILL SOME CONTROVERSY OVER WHETHER THIS WAS THE ORIGINAL INTENT OF THE CNAD WHEN IT SET UP THE STG.

(B) THREE SYSTEMS HAVE BEEN OFFICIALLY PROPOSED AS COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS FOR A NATO AEW SYSTEM. NO NATO BODY HAS YET SELECTED OR RECOMMENDED A PREFERRED SYSTEM FROM AMONG THESE THREE.

(C) THE SHAPE OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS,
CONCEPT OF OPERATIONS AND RELATED
STUDIES FOR A NATO AEW SYSTEM, MUST BE GENERAL AND
BASED ON MILITARY NEEDS. THE COST EFFECTIVENESS OF
PARTICULAR CANDIDATE SYSTEMS WILL THEN BE EVALUATED
IN RELATION TO THE RESULTING REQUIREMENTS. GRANTED,
THE AWACS IS USED AS AN EXAMPLE IN MANY OF THE EFFECTIVENESS AND OTHER STUDIES, BUT ANY ATTEMPT TO BASE NATO
MILITARY REQUIREMENTS AND ANCILLARY EFFORTS LEADING
TO REQUIREMENTS ON A PARTICULAR SYSTEM RATHER THAN
THE OTHER WAY AROUND WILL MEET WITH VERY SERIOUS
RESISTANCE, IS ALMOST CERTAIN TO BE UNSUCCESSFUL,
AND WOULD ENDANGER THE PROSPECTS FOR A FAVORABLE
AWACS DECISION. RUMSFELD

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 11 JUN 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 07 JUN 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: garlanwa
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974ATO03222

Document Number: 1974ATO03222 Document Source: ADS Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a

Executive Order: 11652 GDS, 31-12-80

Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: NATO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740687/abbryvlz.tel Line Count: 76

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: n/a

Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 2

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: garlanwa Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 25 SEP 2002

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <25 SEP 2002 by boyleja>; APPROVED <31-Oct-2002 by garlanwa>

**Review Markings:** 

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: DRAFT DECISION SHEET, AC/259-DS/13, OF CNAD 24-26 APRIL 74 MEETING

TAGS: NATO, MILI To: SECDEF INFO STATE CSAF

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005